Advantages of a Component Based DDS Application Framework

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.

OMG Component Information Day

March 18, 2013

Mark Hayman Consulting Systems Architect Northrop Grumman Corporation

The Teton Project NGES' Modular Open Systems Approach (MOSA) Initiative



- Teton Mission Statement
 - Primary: Provide processes, tools, and open architecture frameworks that enable faster and lower cost development of, and upgrades to, securable embedded processing subsystems, thereby reducing our customers' acquisition and total ownership costs while enabling adaptability and interoperability with existing and emerging open systems
 - Auxiliary: Leverage Mainstream Market Driven (MMD) hardware and software technologies to the maximum extent, and apply open standards wherever possible
- The NGES Teton Project OA initiative started in 2007
 - Baltimore-based Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems (NGES) is one of the 4 Northrop Grumman Corp. business sectors (NGAS, NGES, NGIS, NGTS)
 - Teton Project processes, tools and frameworks, including its primary OT Scalable Node Architecture (SNA) Platform, continue to be applied across the sector



Teton's Five Guiding Architectural Tenets

1) OA – Open Architecture

- More specifically, the U.S. DoD's MOSA (Modular Open Systems Approach) initiative
- Charter tenet for The Teton Project
- 2) MDA Model Driven Architecture
 - Increasing customer interest and importance
 - Higher productivity through tool-based automation and modeling
- 3) CBA Component Based Architecture
 - Associated industry terms include CBD (Component Based Development) and CBSE (Component Based Software Engineering)
 - Emerging, advanced architecture/design methodology within software community
 - Offers modularity and great potential for software reuse for cost/schedule improvements
- 4) SOA Service Oriented Architecture
 - Popular U.S. DoD and IT architecture pattern
- 5) EDA Event Driven Architecture
 - Important real-time architecture pattern associated with DOA (Data Oriented Architecture)
 - Complementary to SOA, EDA primarily defines a programming model

The Teton "String of Pearls" – Driving Architecture Quality Attributes



the Teton Project



General System Architecture Classes

A Perspective From the Northrop Grumman Teton Project



Class 1 Up to 10s of microseconds				Class 2 Os of microseconds up to milliseconds	Class 3 Milliseconds up to 100s of milliseconds	Class 4 100s of milliseconds to seconds & beyon		
Hardware Components			Con de la	Sub-System (LAN + Fabric)	System Platform (LAN)	System of Systems (WAN)		
	Low		Bandwidth, High Performance, Environmental features and driving architecture quality High Scalability, I				-	
	Class	Component Type	Latency Range	Archite	ecture Environment/Description		Technology Type	
	1	Hardware	Up to 10s of microseconds	Hardware architecture populate	ed with FPGAs, uControllers, gate	arrays, discretes.	Hardware	
	2	Embedded Software	10s of microseconds up to milliseconds	Distributed, real-time & embedded (DRE) subsystem level architecture. A set of computers interconnected on a local network plus a backplane or very high-speed communications fabric that efficiently supports high-throughput, low-latency messaging and bulk data transfer. Example: a sensor or communications subsystem.			Software Operational Technology (OT)	
	3	Net-Centric Single-Site LAN Software	Milliseconds up to 100s of milliseconds	speed IP-based network fabric	ecture. A set of computers interco that supports broadcast & multicas gle ship, ground station, operation	connected on a high- ast network protocols Days center, or airplane	Component Based DDS (CBDDS)	
Z	4	Net-Centric Multi-Site WAN Software	100s of milliseconds up to seconds & beyond	"edge" of a Class 3 system inte communications links and/or ne	(SoS) level architecture. A set of rconnected over typically lower-baetwork links that do not necessarily protocols (assume unicast only).	ndwidth / support IP-based,	Software Information Technology (IT)	

Teton's OT Solution: The SNA Platform

Run-Time Core Application Framework and a Comprehensive SDK



NORTHROP GRUMMAN

- SNA Core
 - Run-time Environment comprised of COTS, FOSS & custom OA extension software service executables and API Libs
 - Installable on a target system to support run-time execution of SNA components
- **SNA SDK** (Software Development Kit)
 - COTS, FOSS, custom source extensions, MDA & script tools used to support the design, development, test, integration and deployment of components & solutions
 - Guidance, reference documentation & code examples for developers
 - Installable on a <u>development system</u>, in addition to the SNA Core, to support software development using the SNA Platform

The SNA Core & SDK are Currently Released as a VMware Linux Virtual Machine Image

- Develop distributed, real-time & embedded (DRE) OT software systems for general purpose and high performance embedded computing (HPEC) applications right on your Windows or Linux desktop no special hardware required
- A simple change in your deployment plan will deploy your design to one or more desired target machines



- Our SNA Platform is built upon a CBDDS application framework
 - CBDDS is a comprehensive, integrated suite of 7 OMG open standards
 - Includes LwCCM, DDS, DDS4CCM, AMI4CCM, CORBA, IDL and D&C today
- CBDDS address all five architectural tenets (OA/CBA/MDA/SOA/EDA)
- DDS by itself only fully addresses two of our driving tenets (OA/EDA)
 - Future OMG RPC4DDS spec anticipated to add SOA support
 - New CBDDS MDA tooling can help DDS-only users as well

SNA Core Service	Open Standard	Governance
System Management (Application Container)	CCM (CORBA Component Model), D&C (Deployment & Configuration)	OMG
Service/Client Messaging	CCM, AMI4CCM	OMG
Pub/Sub Messaging	DDS, DDS4CCM	OMG
Pub/Sub Attachment Transfer (PSAT)	DDS4CCM	OMG
Logging	log4cxx	Apache Project
Config Parameter Access	libConfig	SourceForge .net
Data Record/Playback	RTSP (Real Time Streaming Protocol)	IETF RFC 2326
Discovery Services	DDS Topics, DDS4CCM	OMG
Time Management	POSIX & ACE Timers	IEEE/ISO/IEC & DOC Group
Math Libraries	VSIPL, VSIPL++	OMG
Application Instrumentation	Application Instrumentation (AI)	OMG
OS Abstraction	ACE, POSIX	DOC Group, IEEE/ISO/IEC

 The 5 Guiding Architectural Tenets for Teton and SNA are:

- OA Open Architecture (MOSA)
- MDA Model Driven Architecture
- CBA Component Based Architecture
- SOA Service Oriented Architecture
- EDA Event Driven Architecture (DOA)

SNA Core Software Services (CSS) & APIs





- SNA CBDDS OT architecture patterns and service taxonomy borrows from the mainstream market driven (MMD) IT enterprise computing world, where R&D investment is far larger
- IT patterns, approaches and reference models are pushed down to the OT embedded space to the maximum practical extent



- Distributed, real-time & embedded (DRE) OT application framework based upon CBDDS is higher performance than IT enterprise component frameworks
 - But with the same open, modular, quick development & time-to-market benefits



All SNA Middleware APIs Use Open Standards





- The CSS APIs represent the "portability" MOSA key interface for middleware
 - Underlying wire-protocol standards define the important middleware "interoperability" MOSA key interface (e.g., RTPS for DDS, IIOP for CORBA)
- A CBDDS application framework provides the basic programming environment & foundation for highly reusable application component designs
 - Cover both General Purpose (GP) and Signal Processing (SP) applications
 - Comprehensive application framework supports inversion of control and threading model encapsulation for responsive, portable and highly scalable event-driven architecture (EDA) programming models
- Core services support mission independent needs of new programs
- OA APIs used directly by apps with no intermediate proprietary abstraction/shim layer in between – true spirit/intent of MOSA for the portability key interface



Mission Independent SNA Core Software Services and their Defining OA Standards

Advantages of Open Standards Based APIs

Compared with Much Overused Custom Abstraction Layer APIs

- Compliant with MOSA guidelines and governance for new open and modular systems
 - Per MOSA, open APIs are used directly, without a custom or proprietary adaptation or isolation layer overlaying them to provide a *theoretical* future hedge against open technology obsolescence

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

expensive to maintain, and typically lock your applications

to old infrastructure

• Proprietary/custom adaptation/isolation/abstraction/shim layers rarely meet this goal, since future middleware products/standards are typically accompanied by API-breaking paradigm changes as well

• Open APIs are less prone to technology obsolescence than custom/proprietary APIs

- Both define interfaces independent of the underlying implementation
- Neither offers an advantage if the underlying implementation needs to be updated or replaced the chosen/defined API façade can be overlaid on any underlying implementation, whether custom or off-the-shelf
- Future technology replacements often provide off-the-shelf adapters and tools to make it easier to modernize open API approaches (e.g., commercial CORBA to web service adapters)
- Immediate use of existing documentation including API interface specs, tutorials, use examples, textbooks, training material, etc.
 - API definitions available now, no custom document creation/maintenance required
- Open APIs are typically well vetted and thought out in terms of defining generic interfaces for future extension and adaptability
 - Custom APIs typically change quite often over the first few years of use, resulting in costly application layer changes as the underlying APIs evolve
 Custom abstraction layers are
- Off-the-shelf technology reuse for more agile technology refresh
 - Utilization of existing implementations of the chosen open standards interfaces
 - Utilization of existing tools written to directly utilize the chosen open standards
 - Ability to leverage commercial investment, insert cutting edge technology as it evolves, and reduce system lifecycle costs for technology refresh

The OMG DDS4CCM standard <u>IS</u> an OA vendor-neutral middleware isolation layer!

Often by different personnel, Component by a different company/team or system integrator (SI) Includes setting per-instance

- A CBDDS deployment framework manages the lifecycle of the component server, • container and component instances at run-time
 - Provided OMG D&C compatible deployment descriptor files at run-time
 - Use CDD/CDP files to start up a new system across multiple nodes, shut it down, or make dynamic changes to a running system

- CBA design and deployment • phases of development are independent
- Components are designed to ٠ have the following features:
 - Location-independent
 - Transparent to IPC or port transports (local or remote)
 - Have no knowledge of where or how many instances will run
- Component deployment ٠ planning takes place after design

 - deployment properties

Conceptual Hierarchical View of D&C Deployment Launch with DAnCE





Design vs. Deployment Component Based Architecture (CBA) Separation of Concerns

Component Based Software Lifecycle Process

Driven at Each Stage of Development by Standards-Based Artifacts



- IDE: Integrated Development Environment
- CBD: Component Based Development
 IDL: Interface Definition Language (OMG)
- SNA: Scalable Node Architecture
- CDP: Component Deployment Plan
- · CDD: Component Domain Descriptor

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

MDE Tools are Available to Support CBD for CBDDS and Auto-Generation of Critical Artifacts



 Teton has fostered the development of two extensive UML-based MDE tool suites to support the CBD process

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

- CBA architecture captured as a PIM
- Maps to a CBDDS PSM
- Key auto-generated OA artifacts drive the overall process (IDL 3.5, D&C)
- Integration with Eclipse IDE in the SNA SDK offers ability to build *initial* DRE¹ "executable architecture" skeletons w/o writing a single line of code



Layered Middleware Framework

The Teton SNA Application Framework Run-Time SW Stack







- Standards-based component frameworks put the "M" in "MOSA"
 - MOSA = Modular Open Systems Approach, a U.S. DoD Open Architecture initiative
- Use of messaging middleware, layered architectures and/or standardsbased or custom adaptation/isolation layers certainly help, but provide <u>no</u> guarantee of modularity
 - The "modularity" architecture quality attribute is critical to realizing the business goals of software reuse, lower cost and faster time to market touted by Open Architecture (OA) initiatives
- Component standards like LwCCM and extensions (CBDDS), and the anticipated future OMG UCM standard, both promote and in some cases enforce modularity
 - They are also vendor and programming language agnostic
 - While CBDDS is partially messaging middleware agnostic already, UCM is expected to be fully so by requirement and design



Comparison Between a Messaging and an Application Framework

- DDS: Data Distribution Service (a middleware messaging framework)
 - Popular, powerful OT pub-sub messaging DRE (distributed, real-time, embedded) middleware
 - Offers:

DDS vs. CBDDS

- OA, EDA
- Interoperability, Performance
- Location-independent messaging and state distribution



- CBDDS: Component Based DDS (a middleware application framework)
 - Enhanced DDS alternative that addresses the standards-based integration of DDS with other OA common core services required by all software-intensive system designs
 - Extends DDS to add:
 - SOA, CBA, MDA (tooling enabled by structure, minimal value w/DDS-only)
 - Reuse, Modularity
 - Adds structure to your architecture, not just interoperable messaging
 - Portability
 - Standards-based OMG DDS4CCM abstraction layer for DDS (vendor neutrality, transparent use of alternative middleware standards – not just DDS)
 - Portable, "container" based execution environment (threading model encapsulation, event queue/dispatch, clean integration of Logging, Time Management and Security)
 - Additional core services System Management, Service/Client Messaging, PSAT, others



KEY

Offered by CBDDS

Offered by both DDS & CBDDS



- Use of our CBDDS-based SNA Platform continues to grow at Northrop Grumman
 - Used so far on 14 programs, up to 20 IRAD efforts, with plans and proposals for many more
- Emerging themes common to all SNA-based programs using CBDDS include...
 - Significant productivity gains during design and greatly reduced I&T efforts
 - Component and assembly reuse, including use of a new internal Software Reuse Library
 - Complexity & SLOC reductions (56% reduction on one effort refactored to run on CBDDS)
 - Very high stability in executing systems, some of which are fairly complex (100's of components)
 - Shortened development times (= lower development costs)
 - Excellent and extremely quick portability between disparate target hardware architectures
- We hope to continue to help advance CBDDS technology in the open marketplace
 - Over 50 subcontracts issued by Teton since late 2008 (both customer & NGC funded)
 - Open source and commercial product sponsorships have advanced implementations of open standards supporting LwCCM, DDS4CCM, AMI4CCM, D&C, IDL and new C++ language mappings, and VSIPL++
 - For both middleware and MDA tooling for CBDDS
 - Notable OA software sponsorships have included Remedy IT, RTI, Vanderbilt ISIS, Zeligsoft/PrismTech, Atego, OCI and Mentor Graphics
 - Sponsored OA implementation improvements are publicly available
 - Have also helped to sponsor the advancement of CBDDS-relevant open standards at OMG

Looking Forward to UCM



- Our road forward includes the following key milestones
 - Advanced CBDDS implementation using the new IDL2C++11 language mappings
 - Smaller footprint, better performance, much easier to use APIs free of the CORBA namespace
 - Future "X11" version of open source ACE+TAO+CIAO+DAnCE from the DOC Group, as funding/sponsorship allows
 - Unified Component Model (UCM)
 - Even smaller & lighter footprint
 - CORBA dependency fully removed (to optional connectors)
 - Full vendor, programming language & middleware agnostic solution
 - GIS client-server/request-reply connectors encapsulating DDS, CORBA, ...
 - All-DDS connector option for even smaller CBDDS footprint & flexibility
 - Growing library of connector types of all flavors (DDS, CORBA, PSAT, future...)
 - Competing products and Java support
 - Ongoing CBDDS MDA tool improvements to increase ease of use and user productivity

THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.



THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN

Backup Slides

Abstract



A Component Based DDS (CBDDS) application framework encompasses an integrated suite of seven OMG open standard technologies, including CCM, DDS, DDS4CCM, AMI4CCM, CORBA, IDL and D&C (DEPL). At Northrop Grumman Electronic Systems (NGES), our multi-year Teton Project open architecture initiative has adopted CBDDS as the foundation for building distributed, real-time, embedded (DRE) applications targeting large, complex systems. To date we have used our CBDDS-based Scalable Node Architecture (SNA) Platform on 14 different programs and almost twenty internal R&D efforts, and plan to leverage it on many more in the future. We look forward to continued advancement of the CBDDS technology suite, including MDA tooling enhancements, spec improvements to the dynamic capabilities of D&C, as well as the anticipated advantages of an OMG Unified Component Model (UCM) as a lighter-weight, higher performance alternative of the CCM component framework that we use today.

This presentation will offer a brief introduction to the NGES Teton Project, covering the five Component Based Architecture (CBA), Open Architecture (OA), Model Driven Architecture (MDA), Event Driven Architecture (EDA) and Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) architectural tenets that have driven the selection of CBDDS as our core application framework foundation. We will discuss our activities relative to CBDDS technology advancement in terms of open source and commercial development of both DRE middleware and MDA tooling for CBDDS, and how we've been using this technology over the past 3 years on real-world applications with excellent results. The advantages offered by a CBDDS application framework will be presented, as compared for instance to a less comprehensive CORBA or DDSonly messaging framework, covering the additional key architecture quality attributes addressed by CBDDS. These notably include greatly improved and enforceable modularity, improved portability, reduced complexity due to the higher CBDDS abstraction level for application development, MDA tooling options and productivity enhancements leveraging component-based design methodologies, development time reduction and faster time-to-market for DRE applications, reduced development costs, and component level software reuse.